# Characterization of Cytomegalovirus Viremia in Renal Transplant Recipients

## Ishan Chaudhari, B.Sc. (Pharm), Marianna Leung, B.Sc. (Pharm), Pharm.D, ACPR, Bita Bateni, B.Sc. (Pharm), M.Sc. (Pharm), ACPR

### Background

- Cytomegalovirus (CMV) is highly prevalent in the get population and may reactivate in immunocompromi including kidney transplant recipients (KTRs)
- CMV can cause serious disease and result in organ dysfunction, allograft rejection and death
- Risk factors include age, CMV serostatus, deceased donors and others
- Immunosuppressants, such as anti-thymoglobulin (ATG), tacrolimus, mycophenolate mofetil (MMF), have also been implicated with a higher incidence of CMV viremia
- In order to prevent CMV viremia post-transplant, patients may be given an antiviral medication, valganciclovir
- A key clinical objective is to ensure adequate duration of valganciclovir prophylaxis in high risk patients

### **Objectives**

In a cohort of contemporary KTRs, identify whether CMV viremia is associated with:

- Certain demographic characteristics
- Choice and dosing of immunosuppressants (induction and maintenance)
- Duration of CMV prophylaxis with valganciclovir

#### Methods

Retrospective case control study

- All kidney-only transplant recipients at St. Paul's Hospital from 2012 to 2016 with minimum 1 year follow-up
- Viremia defined as serum CMV >1000 IU/mL

Incidence of viremia, patient demographics and drug exposure data were extracted from PROMIS (provincial renal database)

For the univariate analysis, categorical variables were reported as counts and percentages; quantitative variables were reported as means  $\pm$  standard deviations

Statistical analysis performed using Chi-squared and Student's ttest, where appropriate

Multivariate analysis pending





eneral						
ised	patients,					

Results								
Table 1- Demographic Characteristics								
Parameter		no n=49	CMV 2 (75%)	( n=16	CMV S1 (25%)	p-value		
Age (vrs)		53 ±	± 13.4	55	± 13.4	0.0375		
Sex						0.0533		
1	Male	311	(63%)	88	(55%)			
F	Female	181	(37%)	73	(45%)			
Weight (k	(g)	79 ±	: 17.7	75	± 17.4	0.0146		
Race	N/bita	240	(710/)	00	(500/)	<0.0001		
	/vnite	349	(/   %) ( <b>210/</b> )	83 64	(52%)			
F N	North American	104	(2170)	04	(40%)			
	ndian	17	(3%)	6	(4%)			
ŀ	Hispanic	6 (	(1%)	3	(2%)			
E	Black	4 (	(1%)	4	(2%)			
(	Other/Multiracial	12	(2%)	1	(1%)			
D/R sero	status					<0.0001		
-	+/-	70 (	(14%)	47	(29%)			
-	+/+	177	(36%)	71	(44%)			
-	-/+	122	(25%)	38	(24%)			
-	-/-	110	(22%)	1	(1%)			
Donor typ	pe	057	(500())	50	(000)	<0.0001		
L		257	(52%)	53	(33%)			
			(30%)	44	(21%)			
с Г		4/ (	(8%)	აა 21	(20%)			
L Dialysis (	Y)	379	(0 /0) (77%)	31 141	(1970)	0 0039		
Dialysis (	vintage	575	(1170)	1.4.1		0.0033		
	1 vear or less	58 (	(12%)	14	1 (9%)	0.0000		
-	1 to 5 vears	242	(49%)	78	(48%)			
Ę	5+ years	79 (	(16%)	49	(30%)			
PRA perc	centage					0.0046		
r	ר=	258	(52%)	96	(61%)			
(	D-19	202	(41%)	60	(37%)			
2	20-80	35	(7%)	18	(11%)			
>	>80	21	(4%)	18	(11%)			
LD=living DCD=don	donor, SCD=standar ation after cardiac de	d criteria c eath, PRA:	lonor, ECD =panel read	expande	ed criteria do ody	nor,		
Table 2-	Induction Agent	s						
Paramete	r	no CMV	(n=492)	C	MV (n=161)	p-value		
ATG		138 (	28%)		80 (50%)	<0.0001		
Basilixima	b ATO ( // )	347 (	71%)		78 (48%)	0.0070		
Cumulativ	e AIG (mg/kg)	4.1 ±	1.45	2	4.5 ± 1.65	0.0376		
Figure 1	- ATG Dosing							
10								
$\widehat{\mathbf{G}}^{9}$								
<b>0</b> 6								
				×	= a	0.0376		
		×						

Results							
Table	1- Demographic C	haracteristics					
Parame	eter	no CMV n=492 (75%)	CMV n=161 (25%)	p-value			
Age (y	rs)	53 ± 13.4	55 ± 13.4	0.0375			
Sex	Male	311 (63%)	88 (55%)	0.0000			
	Female	181 (37%)	73 (45%)				
Weigh	t (kg)	79 ± 17.7	75 ± 17.4	0.0146			
Race				<0.0001			
	White	349 (71%)	83 (52%)				
	Asian	104 (21%)	64 (40%)				
	North American Indian	17 (3%)	6 (4%)				
	Hispanic	6 (1%)	3 (2%)				
	Black	4 (1%)	4 (2%)				
	Other/Multiracial	12 (2%)	1 (1%)				
D/R se	erostatus			<0.0001			
	+/-	70 (14%)	47 (29%)				
	+/+	177 (36%)	71 (44%)				
	_/+	122 (25%)	38 (24%)				
-	-/-	110 (22%)	1 (1%)	0.0004			
Donor	type			<0.0001			
		257(52%)	53(33%)				
	SCD	<b>14</b> 7 (30%) <b>17</b> (10%)	44 (27%) 33 (20%)				
		47 (10%)	31 (19%)				
Dialys	is (Y)	379 (77%)	141 (88%)	0.0039			
Dialys	is vintage		141 (0070)	0.0033			
	1 year or less	58 (12%)	14 (9%)				
	1 to 5 years	242 (49%)	78 (48%)				
	5+ years	79 (16%)	49 (30%)				
PRA p	ercentage			0.0046			
	n=	258 (52%)	96 (61%)				
	0-19	202 (41%)	60 (37%)				
	20-80	35 (7%)	18 (11%)				
	>80	21 (4%)	18 (11%)				
LD=livir DCD=d	ng donor, SCD=standar onation after cardiac de	rd criteria donor, ECD= eath, PRA=panel react	expanded criteria dor ive antibody	ıor,			
Table	2- Induction Agent	ts					
Parame	eter	no CMV (n=492)	CMV (n=161)	p-value			
ATG	_	138 (28%)	80 (50%)	<0.0001			
Basilixir		347 (71%)	78 (48%)	0.0070			
Jumula	itive AIG (mg/kg)	4.1 ± 1.45	4.5 ± 1.65	0.0376			
Figure	e 1- ATG Dosing						
10							
0							
() () () () () () () () () () () () () (		_					
<b>X</b> 8							
Ĕ <sub>7</sub>							
<b>5</b> 6							
$\breve{0}$ 5							
ê (		×	× p =	0.0376			









- Recipients of older age, female, lower body weight, or Asian decent
- PRA percentage, longer dialysis vintage pre-transplant
- Higher ATG usage or cumulative weight-based ATG dosing

No statistically significant difference found with tacrolimus trough concentrations, weight-based MMF dosing or valganciclovir duration

Multivariate analysis pending





CMV serostatus (D+/R-, D+/R+), deceased donors (ECD or DCD), higher