# An Evaluation of the Empiric Antibiotic Regimen for the Treatment of Peritoneal Dialysis-Associated Peritonitis at Vancouver General Hospital

## Amanda Driver, B.Sc., B.Sc. (Pharm); Elaine Cheng, B.Sc. (Pharm), PharmD, ACPR; Suneet Singh M.D., FRCPC. Departments of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Nephrology, Vancouver General Hospital and University of British Columbia

#### Background

- Peritonitis is a serious complication of peritoneal dialysis (PD in unfavorable outcomes including hospitalization, peritoneal failure, conversion to hemodialysis or death
- The International Society of Peritoneal Dialysis (ISPD) 2016 guidelines provide the following recommendations for PD-associated peritonitis:
  - **Diagnostic criteria:**  $\geq$  2 of the following criteria must be present:
  - Clinical features (abdominal pain and/or cloudy dialysate)
  - Dialysis effluent WBC >  $100/\mu$ L with > 50% polymorphonuclear cells
  - Positive dialysis effluent culture
  - Empiric intraperitoneal (IP) antibiotic regimen:
  - Gram positive: First generation cephalosporin or vancomycin, and
  - Gram negative: Third generation cephalosporin or aminoglycoside
- The 2016 ISPD guidelines recommend antifungal prophylaxis for all PD patients receiving antibiotics to prevent fungal peritonitis
- Current practice at Vancouver General Hospital (VGH):
- Empiric antibiotic regimen: IP cefazolin together with IP ceftazidime, unless allergic to cephalosporins or history of resistant infection Antifungal prophylaxis with fluconazole is not routinely prescribed

### Objectives

- Characterize pathogens and resistance patterns of PD-associated peritonitis episodes at VGH over the past 5 years
- Evaluate the effectiveness of the empiric antibiotic regimen used at VGH for the treatment of PD-associated peritonitis based on clinical outcomes • Assess fungal peritonitis rates at VGH over the past 5 years and determine
- the need for routine fluconazole prophylaxis

#### Methods

- **Design:** Retrospective chart review of PD-associated peritonitis episodes (identified from the PROMIS database) at VGH over a 5 year period
- Inclusion Criteria:
  - Peritonitis episodes from January 1, 2013 December 31, 2017 in patients aged  $\geq$  18 yrs who meet the criteria for PD-associated peritonitis Peritonitis episodes with PD effluent analyzed for cell count, differential,
  - gram stain and culture and sensitivity
  - Peritonitis episodes treated with empiric intraperitoneal (IP) antibiotics

Results

- **Exclusion Criteria:** 
  - Episodes with exit site infection only or eosinophilic peritonitis
- Analysis: Descriptive statistics

Table 1: Peritonitis Rate (# episodes per patient-year on l 2013 2014 2015 201 Year BC 0.38 0.27 0.25 0.26 VGH 0.17 0.12 0.33 0.1





raserhealth

D) that can result					
l membrane					

PD)	
6	2017
6	0.33
3	0.09

Characteristic	n (%
Number of patients	42
Male	18 (42
Mean Age (years)	65 <u>+</u> 13
Ethnicity	
Caucasian	12 (28
Filipino	12 (28
East Asian	10 (23
Number of peritonitis episodes	62
Exit Site Antibiotics	
Mupirocin	30 (48
Gentamicin	32 (51
Dialysis Modality	
Continuous Cycling PD	4/(/5
Continuous Ambulatory PD	
nemodialysis	
Nean Duration of Dialysis (days)	<u>938.6 + 8</u>
Resistant Organisms	
MRSA	1 (1.6
Immunosuppression	8 (12.
Antibiotics in past 3 months	15 (24
Extraperitoneal fungal infection	3 (4.8
Empiric gram positive	
Cefazolin IP	48 (77
Vancomycin IP	10 (16
Empiric gram negative	
Ceftazidime IP	51 (82
Iobramycin IP	4 (6.5
Fluconazole Prophylaxis	15 (24

 Table 2: Patient Characteristics



**Fungal Peritonitis:** 0% **Culture Negative Peritonitis**: 9.7%

How you want to be treated



#### Results Table 3: Antibiotic Sensitivity of Organisms Isolated fi Antibiotic |% Sensitive (n<sub>s</sub>/n<sub>t</sub>\*) Orc Organism Penicillin G 76.9 (10/13) Streptococci Staph .9) (N=13) Vancomycin 100 (13/13) 3.3 Vancomycin 83.3 (5/6) Enterococci Gram (N=6) 66.7 (4/6) Gentamicin .6) $(n_s/n_t) = number of sensitive isolates/total number of isolates a$ .6) .8) Figure 2: Peritonitis Episode Outcomes (N=62 episode **Resolution of Infection** 45 .4) .6) 6 1 **Refractory Infection Relapse Infection** .8) .6) **Recurrent Infection** 898.6 **Repeat Infection** Death PD Tube Removal 10 .2) 10 Transfer to HD 3 Transfer Back to PD **2** .4) 10 25 20 15 .1) Num **Outcome Definitions** .2) Resolution of Infection – no signs/symptoms after 5 days of Refractory Infection – failure to clear PD effluent after 5 day (N=74) Relapse Infection – episode with same organism $\leq$ 4 weeks Recurrent Infection – episode with different organism $\leq 4 \text{ we}$ Repeat Infection – episode with same organism > 4 weeks Limitations/Con • Number of peritonitis episodes may be under-reported due to missed or inappropriate PROMIS entry Small sample size precluded ability to associate patient characteristics with clinical outcomes Patients with multiple peritonitis episodes may impact resistance patterns

#### **Discussion/Conclusions**

- Isolated organism(s) was sensitive to empiric antibiotic therapy in 88.7% of episodes Current empiric antibiotic regimen adequate for the treatment of PD-associated peritonitis at VGH
- Resolution of infection achieved in 80.6% of episodes No episodes of fungal peritonitis at VGH over past 5 years, therefore fluconazole prophylaxis not required
- unless risk factors (eg. immunosuppression, recent antibiotics, extraperitoneal fungal infection) are present



om Dialysate						
anism	Antibiotic	% Sensitive (n /n.*)				
nvlococci	Cefazolin	92.3 (24/26)				
N=29)	Vancomycin	100 (5/	5)			
Negatives	Ceftazidime	100 (12/12)				
N=22)	Tobramycin	93.8 (15/16)				
nalyzed	nalvzed					
s)						
		5				
Sensitive to Empiric Therapy (N=55)						
Resistant to Empiric Therapy (N=7)						
30 3	5 40 45	50 55	60			
iber of Episodes						
r antibiotics with no relapse for 4 weeks						
s after antibiotics completed						
eeks after antibiotics completed						
after antibiotics completed						
foundare						
tounders						

Additional antibiotics (eg. piperacillin/tazobactam) administered in 32.3% of episodes